

TRANSLATION OF NOTICE OF REASONS FOR REFUSAL

Serial Number: 99-01429

Mail Number: 391293

Mail Date: November 6, 2003

Patent Application Number: 11 -121104

Date Drafted: October 31, 2003

Examiner: SAITOU Tetsu 4232 5000

Attorney: KOIKE Takaya

Provision Applied: Section 29(2)

RECEIVED

DEC 0 2 2003

Technology Center 2600

This application should be refused for the reasons as described below. If the applicant has any argument against this decision, a written argument should be filed to the Patent Office within 60 days from the mailing date of this notice.

Reasons for Refusal

The invention described in below-indicated claims of the application could easily have been made, prior to the filing of the patent application, by a person with ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains, on the basis of an invention or inventions described in a publication as indicated below distributed in Japan or elsewhere prior to the filing of the patent application. Therefore, the present invention should not be granted a patent under the provision of Patent Law Section 29(2).

Note (See List of References Cited for the references cited herein)

- · Claims 1, 3 and 5
- · References cited: 1 and 2
- · Remarks

Cited reference 1 describes an optical disk, optical reproduction device, and optical recording device in which each of the segments includes a clock field, as described in the conventional example of the present invention. Cited reference 1 differs from the invention of Claims 1, 3, and 5 in that a synchronization pattern is recorded in a data field of the segments. But, a technique to further improve an error correction rate by adding a re-synchronization signal with which bit displacement in reproducing the segments is corrected is known to a person skilled in the art, as described in paragraph [0019] and Figure 1 of cited reference 2, for example. The Specification describes the effect of merely combining the known technique with the technique reference of cited 1. Therefore. above-mentioned difference is not regarded as significant.

· Claim 2

· References cited: 1-3

· Remarks

Cited reference 3 discloses a technique in which information corresponding to a phase-introducing header pattern for data reproduction is recorded in an ID section of a sector, i.e., the first two segments of a sector. Therefore, no significant point is found in the arrangement of Claim 2.

· Claim 4

· References cited: 1-4

· Remarks

As disclosed in paragraph [0016] of cited reference 4, it is a well-known technique to detect a re-sync signal at or in the vicinity of a point where the re-sync signal is expected to be detected. Therefore, no significant point is found in the arrangement of claim 4.

It will be noticed if a further reason for refusal is found.

List of References Cited

1. Tokukaihei 11-016216

2. Tokukaihei 10-334607

- 3. Tokukaihei 07-093905
- 4. Tokukaihei 09-212937

Search Report for Prior Art Documents

· Field of Search

Int. Cl. 7 G11B 20/10

· Prior Art Document

Tokukaihei 06-150329

This Search Report for Prior Art Documents does not constitute grounds for refusal.